



**Jammu and Kashmir State Information Commission**

(Constituted under Right to Information Act 2009)

Old Assembly Complex, Srinagar, Fax No. 0194-2484269, 2484262

Wazarat Road Near DC Office, Jammu, Fax No. 0191-2520947, 2520937

www.jksic.nic.in

\*\*\*\*\*

File No.SIC/CO/SA/56/2013

Decision No.SIC/CO/SA/56/2013/565

Title:- Shri Gurmeet Singh R/o Preet Nagar Digiana, Jammu.

V/s

1. Dy. Inspector General of Police(Adm)-  
Cum- First Appellate Authority,  
PHQ, J&K, Jammu.
2. Public Information Officer,  
PHQ, J&K, Jammu.

Jammu

15-1-2013

This is a second appeal/complaint filed by Shri Gurmeet Singh, a resident of the State before this Commission. Shri Sandeep Singh, Advocate appeared on behalf of the Information seeker and Shri Uttam Chand,IPS, PIO PHQ, J&K, Jammu attended. The brief facts of the case are that the appellant filed RTI application before PIO, PHQ, J&K, Srinagar on 8-5-2013. The PIO, PHQ forwarded the application to the other wing vide his order dated 14-5-2013. The concerned PIO passed an order on 8-6-2013 did communicate the order. The PIO has also communicated about the details of the verification conducted in the case of promoted official before promotion was considered and information seeker was also informed that after the inquiry in the complaint against promoted inspector, the complaint was consigned to records as the allegations could not be substantiated. The appellant later on filed first appeal before the FAA vide his appeal dated 2-7-2013. The FAA disposed of this appeal vide his order dated 29-7-2013 which is within time and appreciated. However, the FAA has inadvertently not identified himself by mentioning the name. It is advised that while dealing

with RTI applications name and full particulars of any designated authority under the Act have to be given.

Before the Commission in the second appeal only point agitated is that the complete information was not provided and point No.3 in the RTI application has not been replied. It would be relevant to know what was the information sought at point No.3 of RTI application. The RTI application point No.3 reads as under:-

“whether the report of enquiry u/s 202 Cr.P.C which is pending before P/S Gandhi Nagar, Jammu against S. Inderjeet Singh was taken into consideration at the time of granting promotion, as the report of Forensic Science Laboratory has clearly held that they have forged the signatures of S. Gurmeet Singh?”

The Commission has gone through the PIO's reply as well as FAA's decision. The information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant has duly being informed that promotion of the official has been ordered after due verification of complaint and allegations thereof. However, the wording of PIO's order is such which has not convinced the appellant that his query was replied. Therefore, Commission considers it appropriate to advise the PIO to inform the information seeker clearly and lucidly that promotion has been ordered after duly verifying the contents of the complaint filed against the official whose promotion was considered.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Sd/-  
G.R.Sufi,  
State Chief Information Commissioner.

Copy to the:-

1. Dy. Inspector General of Police(Adm)-Cum-First Appellate Authority, PHQ, J&K, Jammu.
2. Public Information Officer, PHQ J&K, Jammu.
3. S. Gurmeet Singh R/o Preet Nagar Digiana, Jammu.
4. Private Secretary to HCIC.
5. Guard file.

(G.Q.Bhat)  
Registrar,  
J&K State Information Commission,  
Jammu