



J&K State Information Commission  
Old Assembly Complex, Srinagar . 0194-2484267  
Wazarat Road, Near DC office , Jammu. 0191-2520937.  
[www.jksic.nic.in](http://www.jksic.nic.in)

\*\*\*\*\*

File No: SIC/CO/SA/112/2012  
Decision No: SIC/Co/SA/112/2012/465

Title:- Mushtaq Ahmed Lone R/o Azad Gunj  
Baramulla-193101  
V/s  
PIO JKPCCLtd.

Srinagar  
19.06.2013

This is a complaint filed by Shri Mushtaq Ahmed Lone, a resident of the State on 7.8.2012 which was received by the PIO JKPCCLtd on 8.8.2012 seeking certain information as listed in the RTI application. The PIO passed order on 22.8.2012. The appellant not being satisfied with the order preferred first appeal before FAA on 28.8.2012. First appeal was disposed of on 30<sup>th</sup> October 2012. The appellant again not being satisfied by this order preferred second appeal in this Commission on 27.11.2012. The Commission in response to this appeal passed an order on 13<sup>th</sup> December 2012. While disposing of the second appeal, Commission had found that the FAA had not passed a speaking and proper order and had failed to address the grounds of appeal raised by the appellant. Accordingly, the first appeal was restored to FAA with the direction to pass a speaking order after giving an opportunity of being heard to the appellant. After restoring the appeal to FAA, he passed an order on 28.12.2012 directing the PIO to provide the information as per the RTI application. The PIO passed a fresh order on 11.1.2013. The PIO passed the order within reasonable time which was dispatched to the complainant within a reasonable time. The appellant's main complaint is that the PIO has failed to pass such order and within time and the information later provided was misleading and incomplete. The Commission has perused the record on file and heard the PIO and the complainant. The Commission has found that PIO had passed an order in accordance with the directions of FAA hence there is no non-compliance shown by the PIO. The PIO mentioned in the order that there are no specific rules in JKPCCLtd. Governing release of funds ( two casual workers) and the copies of circulars and instructions issued in this regard by the Financial

Controller JKPCCLtd were provided to the appellant. The second point of information was identification of the officers/employees responsible for the release of funds from the Head Office and providing their details with designation. This information has been admitted by the complainant to have been received. Third point of information was also covered by the PIO. Hence there is no discrepancy. The other information has also been provided to the appellant. Hence there being no substance in the complaint of the appellant, the complaint is hereby rejected. The complainant is at liberty to take any other legal option available to him.

( G.R. Sufi )  
J&K State Chief Information Commissioner