



- e) Name the civilian persons alongwith their addresses in whose favour licences are issued by the office of Deputy Commissioner (District Magistrate) Jammu since 2006 to 2013?
- f) What is the ordinary time taken by the office of Deputy Commissioner(District Magistrate) Jammu for the issuance of Arms Licence in case of civilian applicant?
- g) What was the report of verification of character and antecedents filed by the police and CID in case of application of Adarsh Kumar Advocate for arms licence filed in the year 2006?

Since the information was pertaining to Judicial Section in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Jammu accordingly the application of the information seeker was forwarded by the PIO to Judicial Section on 08-08-2013 vide No.DCJ/PIO/RTI/2013-14/655-56 followed by reminder dated 19-09-2013. Judicial Section, Office of Deputy Commissioner, Jammu furnished the reply to the PIO/Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Jammu vide letter No.930/DMJ/13 dated 08-10-2013 which was furnished to the applicant by hand. Thereafter the appellant filed first appeal under the Act before the Deputy Commissioner as First Appellate Authority. The First Appellate Authority disposed of the first appeal on 09-11-2013 with the remarks that the delay has occurred due to huge work load in Judicial Section and limited human resources and advised the PIO as well as incharge Arms Section i.e Judicial Clerk to remain careful in future.

Feeling aggrieved of the order of First Appellate Authority, the appellant filed second appeal dated 10-12-2013 before the Commission in which he has alleged interalia the delay on part of the PIO in providing the information. The Commission listed the matter for hearing on 14-03-2014. During the course of proceedings held today the PIO apprised the Commission that delay is purely circumstantial and was due to huge work load in the Judicial Section and limited human resources. Perusal of the second appeal filed by the appellant and documents on record reveal that there is no intentional delay or malafide on part of the PIO in providing the information to the information seeker rather PIO acted reasonably and diligently in the matter and the information received from the Judicial Section was immediately handed over to the appellant.

In view of the aforesaid facts and observations, the Commission is of the considered opinion that the information sought by the information seeker stands already furnished to him and as such no further direction in the matter is required.

The appeal is accordingly disposed of.

Notice of this decision be given free of cost to the parties.

**Sd/-**  
**Dr. S.K. Sharma**  
State Information Commissioner.

Copy to the:-

1. Sh. Adarsh Kumar, Advocate, House No. 43, Lane No.6, Talab Tillo, Jammu.
2. Shri Kalyan Singh, PIO/Addl. Deputy Commissioner, Jammu.
3. Guard file.

**(Khalid A. Shah)**  
Dy.Registrar  
State Information Commission.